arXiv:1603.04280v1 [math.CO] 14 Mar 2016

5-regular oriented graphs with optimum skew energy *

Lifeng Guo, Ligong Wang, Peng Xiao

Department of Applied Mathematics, School of Science, Northwestern Polytechnical University,

Xi'an, Shaanxi 710072, People's Republic of China.

E-mail: lfguomath@163.com, lgwangmath@163.com, xiaopeng@sust.edu.cn

Abstract

Let G be a simple undirected graph and G^σ be the corresponding oriented graph of G with the orientation σ. The skew energy of G^σ, denoted by $\varepsilon_s(G^\sigma)$, is defined as the sum of the singular values of the skew adjacency matrix $S(G^\sigma)$. In 2010, Adiga et al. certified that $\varepsilon_s(G^\sigma) \leq n\sqrt{\Delta}$, where Δ is the maximum degree of G of order n. In this paper, we determine all connected 5-regular oriented graphs of order n with maximum skew-energy.

Key Words: Oriented graph, Skew-adjacency matrix, Skew energy.

AMS Subject Classification Numbers: 05C50, 15A18, 05C20.

1 Introduction

Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a simple and undirected graph with vertex set $V = V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ and edge set $E(G) = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_m\}$. For any $v \in V$, we denote by $d(v) = d_G(v)$ and $N(v) = N_G(v)$ respectively the degree and the neighborhood of v in G. Denote by $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ the maximum degree of G and $G[W]$ the subgraph of G induced by the subset $W \subseteq V(G)$. Let G^σ be an oriented graph of G with the orientation σ, which assigns to each edge of G a direction so that the resulting graph G^σ becomes an oriented graph. Denote by (u, v) the arc of G^σ, with tail u and head v. We call G the underlying graph of G^σ. Here we take $V(G^\sigma) = V(G)$, $N_{G^\sigma}(v) = N_G(v) = N(v)$.

Let $A(G)$ be the $(0, 1)$-adjacency matrix of G. The skew-adjacency matrix of G^σ is the $n \times n$ matrix $S(G^\sigma) = [s_{ij}]$, $s_{ij} = 1$ whenever $(v_i, v_j) \in E(G^\sigma)$, $s_{ij} = -1$ whenever $(v_j, v_i) \in E(G^\sigma)$, $s_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. Denote by $\varepsilon_s(G^\sigma)$ the skew energy of G^σ, which is defined as the sum of the singular values of $S(G^\sigma)$. Since $S(G^\sigma)$ is a skew-symmetric matrix, the sum of the singular values of $S(G^\sigma)$ is the sum of the absolute values of its eigenvalues.

*Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.11171273)

In [8], Gutman introduced the energy of a simple undirected graph. Since several results on the energy of the adjacent matrix of a graph have been obtained [8, 9, 11]. Recently more concepts of graph energy are studied, such as Laplacian energy, signless Laplacian energy, incidence energy, distance energy for an undirected graph, the skew energy and skew Laplacian energy for an oriented graph, see [9] and [11]. The recent achievements about skew energy are collected in [10].

In [1], Adiga et al. first introduced the skew energy of an oriented graph. In particular, a sharp upper bound for the skew energy of an oriented graph G^σ was obtained in terms of order n and maximum degree Δ of G, that is

$$\varepsilon_s(G^\sigma) \leq n\sqrt{\Delta}.$$

They pointed out that $\varepsilon_s(G^\sigma) = n\sqrt{\Delta}$ if and only if $S(G^\sigma)^T S(G^\sigma) = \Delta I_n$, where I_n is the identity matrix of order n. In the following, we call an oriented graph G^σ on n vertices with maximum degree Δ an *optimum skew energy oriented graph* if and only if $\varepsilon_s(G^\sigma) = n\sqrt{\Delta}$. At the same time the orientation σ is called the *optimum orientation*.

Which k-regular graphs G on n vertices have orientations σ satisfying $\varepsilon_s(G^\sigma) = n\sqrt{k}$?

In [1], Adiga et al. solved the problem for situations of $k = 1$ and $k = 2$. A 1-regular graph on n vertices has skew energy is n if and only if n is even and the graph is $n/2$ copies of K_2, A 2-regular graph on n vertices has skew energy $n\sqrt{2}$ if and only if n is a multiple of 4 and it is a union of $n/4$ copies of C_4.

Then, Tian determined the optimum orientations for hypercubes [12]. In [6], Gong and Xu characterized all connected 3-regular oriented graphs with optimum skew energy, they are the complete graph K_4 and the hypercube $\mathbf{Q}_3$. In [7], Gong et al. considered the connected 4-regular oriented graphs with optimum skew energy, they found the possible connected 4-regular underlying graphs, which are $U_3, U_n(n \geq 5), Q_4, P_2 \Box K_4$ and the graph G_1 in Fig 2.2 of [7]. In [3], Chen et al. concentrated on the connected 4-regular oriented graphs with optimum skew energy, they found the same five underlying graphs in another way.

There is a connection between the above question and matrix theory. A weighing matrix $W = W(n; k)$ (see [4]) is defined as a square $(0, \pm 1)$ matrix with k non-zero entries per row and column and inner product of distinct rows equal to zero. Therefore, we know $WW^T = kI_n$. In fact the skew adjacency matrix of a optimum skew energy oriented graph is a skew symmetric weighing matrix.

In this paper, we continue to investigate the above question and determine all connected 5-regular oriented graphs of order n with maximum skew energy.

2 The possible underlying graphs of connected 5-regular oriented graphs with optimum skew energy

If each pair of neighborhoods of a graph have even number of common vertices, then this graph is called to have even neighborhood property. As we shall see, every 5-regular oriented graph with optimum skew energy has even neighborhood property. In this section, we characterize all connected 5-regular graphs of order n with this property.

Lemma 2.1. *(Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6 of [1]) Let G^σ be an oriented graph of G on n vertices with maximum degree Δ. Then $\varepsilon_s(G^\sigma) \leqslant n\sqrt{\Delta}$ with equality if and only if $S(G^\sigma)^T S(G^\sigma) = \Delta I_n$, where I_n is the identity matrix of order n.*

Lemma 2.2. *(Lemma 2.7 of [1]) Let $S(G^\sigma)$ be the skew adjacency matrix of G^σ, if $S(G^\sigma)^T S(G^\sigma) = kI_n$, then $|N(u) \cap N(v)|$ is even for any distinct vertices u and v of G^σ. In particular, if G^σ is a 5-regular oriented graph of order n satisfying $S(G^\sigma)^T S(G^\sigma) = 5I_n$, then $|N(u) \cap N(v)| \in \{0, 2, 4\}$ for any two distinct vertices u and v of G^σ.*

Lemma 2.3. *Let G_1 and G_2 be vertex disjoint graphs. Then G_1 and G_2 have optimum orientations if and only if the union of G_1 and G_2 does.*

The following observation allows one to restrict attention to connected graphs. For any 5-regular oriented graph G^σ of order n with optimum skew energy $n\sqrt{5}$, we will discuss the following three cases for the underlying graph G of 5-regular oriented graphs G^σ. That is,

- G contains K_4 as an induced subgraph.
- G contains a K_3 but not K_4 as an induced subgraph.
- G contains no K_3.

In order to determine the connected 5-regular graphs with the even neighborhood property, we first introduce firstly a procedure table. The first column of the table denotes the vertices v_i $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ of the graph, and the other columns of the table are the neighbors of the vertex v_i for every row. From the table, we will compare the neighborhoods of any two vertices in the graph and determine the neighbors of each vertex in the graph. Finally, we will find the underlying connected 5-regular graphs G with the even neighborhood property of optimum skew energy oriented graphs G^σ.

Next we introduce an algorithm how to obtain the procedure table which will be used in Theorems 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 respectively.

Step 1. Choose a vertex v_1 of graph G and its neighbors v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6, then show them in the table.

Step 2. Preliminarily determine the neighbors of v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6 according to whether the graph contains K_4 or K_3.

Step 3. Compare the neighborhoods of any two vertices v_i and v_j in the table. If $|N(v_i) \cap N(v_j)|$ is odd, then find new neighbor(s) for v_i and v_j from the table such that $|N(v_i) \cap N(v_j)|$ is even under the conditions that the graph is 5-regular with even neighborhood property and whether the graph contains K_4 or K_3. If such new neighbor(s) do not exist for all pairs of vertices v_i and v_j in the table, then go to Step 4.

Step 4. If the degree of every vertex in the table is 5, stop; else choose a vertex v with degree less than 5 and that is not adjacent to any vertex of the table. Then add a new vertex u to the table such that u is adjacent to v, and return to Step 3.

In the following, every step will be shown by an area enveloped in dotted line. We will explain how to use it in the progress of obtaining G_1 and G_2 of Theorem 2.4.

The graphs in Figure 1 are the connected 5-regular graphs with the even neighborhood property that contains K_4 as an induced graph.

Theorem 2.4. *Let G^σ be a connected 5-regular oriented graph on n vertices with optimum skew energy $n\sqrt{5}$. If the underlying graph G contains K_4 as an induced subgraph, then G must be one of the following graphs with the even neighborhood property depicted in Figure 1.*

Proof. Since G is a connected 5-regular graph which contains K_4 as an induced subgraph, let $V(G[K_4]) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ and the other neighbors are v_5, v_6. Clearly any two vertices of $\{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ are adjacent. This corresponds to Step 1 and Step 2 of Table 1. From Lemma 2.2, we know $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_2)|$ is even. Thus, if $v_2v_5 \in E(G)$, we deduce $v_2v_6 \in E(G)$. Similarly, if $v_3v_5 \in E(G)$ then $v_3v_6 \in E(G)$, if $v_4v_5 \in E(G)$ then $v_4v_6 \in E(G)$. Suppose $a = |\{v_5, v_6\} \cap N(v_2)|$, $b = |\{v_5, v_6\} \cap N(v_3)|$, $c = |\{v_5, v_6\} \cap N(v_4)|$. Therefore the possible value for (a, b, c) is one of $\{(2,2,2), (2,2,0), (2,0,2), (0,2,2), (2,0,0), (0,2,0), (0,0,2), (0,0,0)\}$. If we do not consider the labeling order of v_2, v_3, v_4, We only need to discuss four cases $(2,2,2), (2,2,0), (2,0,0), (0,0,0)$.

Case 1. $(a, b, c) = (2, 2, 2)$.

In this case, we know that $N(v_5) \supseteq \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4\}$ and $N(v_1) = \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$. Applying Lemma 2.2 to $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_5)|$, we have $v_6 \in N(v_5)$, Thus $N(v_5) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4, v_6\}$ and $d(v_i) = 5$, for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$. This corresponds to Step 3 of Table 1.

Now, the degree of every vertex is 5 and the graph is connected, so the graph G_1 is found.

The resulting graph is $G_1 \cong K_6$ with the even neighborhood property depicted in Figure 1. We have shown the progress of obtaining G_1 in Table 1.

Case 2. $(a, b, c) = (2, 2, 0)$.

In this case, $N(v_2) = \{v_1, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$, $N(v_3) = \{v_1, v_2, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$, $v_4v_5 \notin E(G)$ and $v_4v_6 \notin E(G)$.

Table 1: The procedure table for G_1

v_i	the neighborhood of v_i
v_1	v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6
v_2	v_1 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6
v_3	v_1 v_2 v_4 v_5 v_6
v_4	v_1 v_2 v_3 v_5 v_6
v_5	v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_6
v_6	v_1 v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5

Step 1 Step 2 Step 3

Table 2: The procedure table for G_2

v_i	the neighborhood of v_i
v_1	v_2 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6
v_2	v_1 v_3 v_4 v_5 v_6
v_3	v_1 v_2 v_4 v_5 v_6
v_4	v_1 v_2 v_3 v_7 v_8
v_5	v_1 v_2 v_3 v_7 v_9
v_6	v_1 v_2 v_3 v_8 v_9
v_7	v_4 v_5 v_{10} v_{11} v_{12}
v_8	v_4 v_6 v_{10} v_{11} v_{12}
v_9	v_5 v_6 v_{10} v_{11} v_{12}
v_{10}	v_7 v_8 v_9 v_{11} v_{12}
v_{11}	v_7 v_8 v_9 v_{10} v_{12}
v_{12}	v_7 v_8 v_9 v_{10} v_{11}



Figure 1: All the possible underlying graphs for Theorem 2.4

There must be two new vertices $v_7, v_8 \in N(v_4)$. Applying Lemma 2.2 to $|N(v_7) \cap N(v_1)|$, either $v_7v_5 \in E(G)$ or $v_7v_6 \in E(G)$, and not both. Without loss of generality, let $v_7v_5 \in E(G)$ and $v_7v_6 \notin E(G)$. Then $N(v_6) \cap N(v_4) \supseteq \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, so $v_6v_8 \in E(G)$ from Lemma 2.2. We deduce that $v_5v_6 \notin E(G)$, otherwise $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_5)| = 3$ and $d(v_1) = d(v_5) = 5$, which contradict to Lemma 2.2. Since $d(v_6) = 5$, there is another new neighbor v_9 of v_6. Applying Lemma 2.2 to $|N(v_5) \cap N(v_6)|$, either $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$ or $v_5v_9 \in E(G)$. If $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$, then $|N(v_4) \cap N(v_5)| = 5$, which contradicts to Lemma 2.2. Thus $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$ and $v_5v_9 \in E(G)$. So far, we have $d(v_i) = 5$ for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$, and $d(v_j) = 2$ for $j = 7, 8, 9$. We deduce that $v_7v_8 \notin E(G), v_8v_9 \notin E(G), v_7v_9 \notin E(G)$. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we assume $v_7v_8 \in E(G)$, then $|N(v_4) \cap N(v_7)| = 1$. While $N(v_4) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3, v_7, v_8\}, d(v_1) = d(v_2) = d(v_3) = 5$ and the graph is a simple graph, so there is a contradiction. Similarly, $v_8v_9 \notin E(G), v_7v_9 \notin E(G)$.

Now v_7 has three new neighbors, denoted by v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}. From $|N(v_4) \cap N(v_{10})|$, we know $v_8v_{10} \in E(G)$. Similarly we consider $|N(v_4) \cap N(v_{11})|, |N(v_4) \cap N(v_{12})|, |N(v_5) \cap N(v_{10})|, |N(v_5) \cap N(v_{11})|$ and $|N(v_5) \cap N(v_{12})|$, respectively. We deduce $v_8v_{11} \in E(G)$,
$v_8v_{12} \in E(G), v_9v_{10} \in E(G), v_9v_{11} \in E(G)$ and $v_9v_{12} \in E(G)$, respectively.

Next, we will discuss the following two cases.

Case 2.1. There is a pair of adjacent vertices in $\{v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}\}$.

Without loss of generality, let $v_{10}v_{11} \in E(G)$, then $N(v_7) = \{v_4, v_5, v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}\}$, $N(v_7) \cap N(v_{10}) \supseteq \{v_{11}\}$ and $d(v_4) = d(v_5) = 5$. By Lemma 2.2, $v_{10}v_{12} \in E(G)$, similarly $v_{11}v_{12} \in E(G)$. Since $d(v_i) = 5$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 12$, the graph is G_2 with the even neighborhood property as shown in Figure 1.

From Case 2 to here, every paragraph above corresponds a progress shown by an area enveloped in dotted line in Table 2. In the following, all progress of proving Theorems 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6 can be shown in some similar procedure tables.

Case 2.2. No vertices among $\{v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}\}$ are adjacent.

In this case, there must be two new neighbors v_{13}, v_{14} of v_{10}. By Lemma 2.2, exactly one of $v_{11}v_{13}$ and $v_{12}v_{13}$ is an edge of G. Without loss of generality, assume $v_{11}v_{13}$ is an edge of G. By Lemma 2.2, $v_{12}v_{14}$ is an edge and $v_{11}v_{14}$ is not. Thus there exists a new vertex v_{15} that is adjacent to v_{11}, and by Lemma 2.2 we have $v_{12}v_{15}$ is an edge. Now, $d(v_{10}) = d(v_{11}) = d(v_{12}) = 5, d(v_{13}) = d(v_{14}) = d(v_{15}) = 2$.

We deduce $v_{13}v_{14} \notin E(G), v_{13}v_{15} \notin E(G)$ and $v_{14}v_{15} \notin E(G)$. Otherwise, without loss of generality, we assume $v_{13}v_{14} \in E(G)$. Then $N(v_{10}) = \{v_7, v_8, v_9, v_{13}, v_{14}\}$, $N(v_{10}) \cap N(v_{13}) = \{v_{14}\}$, and $d(v_7) = d(v_8) = d(v_9) = 5$. This is a contradiction to Lemma 2.2. Thus there exist three new neighbors v_{16}, v_{17}, v_{18} of v_{13}. Since $|N(v_{13}) \cap N(v_{14})|$ is even, without of loss generality, we may assume $v_{14}v_{16} \in E(G)$. Similarly, $v_{15}v_{16} \in E(G)$ (since $|N(v_{11}) \cap N(v_{16})|$), $v_{14}v_{17} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_{10}) \cap N(v_{17})|$), $v_{15}v_{17} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_{11}) \cap N(v_{17})|$), $v_{14}v_{18} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_{10}) \cap N(v_{18})|$),

$v_{15}v_{18} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_{11}) \cap N(v_{18})|$).

Now we need to know the adjacency relationship of v_{16}, v_{17}, v_{18}, which is similar to the adjacency relationship of v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}. By further discussion, we obtain a class of graph G_3 with order $n \geq 12$ and the even neighborhood property as shown in Figure 1.

Case 3. $(a, b, c) = (2, 0, 0)$.

By using a similar method to that used in Cases 1 and 2, we find underlying graphs G_4, G_5, G_6, G_7, G_8, Details are as follows.

In this case $v_3v_5 \notin E(G), v_3v_6 \notin E(G), v_4v_5 \notin E(G), v_4v_6 \notin E(G)$. Let the new neighbors of v_3 be v_7, v_8. Applying Lemma 2.2 to $|N(v_5) \cap N(v_2)|$, we obtain $v_5v_6 \in E(G)$. Next we discuss the following three cases.

Case 3.1. $v_4v_7 \in E(G)$ and $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$.

We deduce $v_4v_8 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_4)|$). Similarly $v_7v_8 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_7)|$), $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_5)|$), $v_6v_7 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_7)|$), $v_6v_8 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_8)|$). Now $d(v_i) = 5$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 8$. The graph is G_4 with the even neighborhood property as shown in Figure 1.

Case 3.2. $v_4v_7 \in E(G)$ and $v_5v_7 \notin E(G)$.

We know $v_4v_8 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_4)|$), $v_7v_8 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_7)|$), $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$ from $v_5v_7 \notin E(G)$ and $|N(v_4) \cap N(v_5)|$. There are two new neighbors v_9, v_{10} of v_5 and $v_6v_9 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_9)|$), $v_6v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_{10})|$).

Case 3.2.1. $\{v_7v_9, v_7v_{10}, v_8v_9, v_8v_{10}\} \cap E(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Without loss of generality, we assume that $v_7v_9 \in E(G)$. Then $v_7v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_6) \cap N(v_7)|$), $v_8v_9 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_9)|$), $v_8v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_6) \cap N(v_8)|$), $v_9v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_5) \cap N(v_9)|$). The graph G_5 is found as shown in Figure 1.

Case 3.2.2. $\{v_7v_9, v_7v_{10}, v_8v_9, v_8v_{10}\} \cap E(G) = \emptyset$.

There are two new neighbors v_{11}, v_{12} of v_7. Then $v_8v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_{11})|$), $v_8v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_{12})|$), $v_{11}v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_7) \cap N(v_{11})|$), $v_9v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_5) \cap N(v_9)|$).

Next we should discuss whether $\{v_9v_{11}, v_9v_{12}, v_{10}v_{11}, v_{10}v_{12}\} \cap E(G) = \emptyset$ or not. This discussion is similar to the discussion that whether $\{v_7v_9, v_7v_{10}, v_8v_9, v_8v_{10}\} \cap E(G) = \emptyset$ or not. With further discussion, we obtain a class of graph which is isomorphic to G_6 with order $n \geq 8$ in Figure 1.

Case 3.3. $v_4v_7 \notin E(G)$.

We deduce $v_4v_8 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_4)|$). Then there exist two new neighbors v_9, v_{10} of v_4. Now $|N(v_7) \cap N(v_1)|$, so either $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$ or $v_6v_7 \in E(G)$, and not both. While $N(v_5) \supseteq \{v_1, v_2, v_6\}$ and $N(v_6) \supseteq \{v_1, v_2, v_5\}$, without loss of generality, we assume $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$ and $v_6v_7 \notin E(G)$. Then $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_5)|$). $v_6v_7 \notin E(G)$ and $|N(v_5) \cap N(v_6)|$ imply $v_6v_8 \notin E(G)$. $|N(v_4) \cap N(v_7)|$ implies either $v_7v_9 \in E(G)$ or $v_7v_{10} \in E(G)$, and not both. Without

loss of generality, we assume $v_7v_9 \in E(G)$ and $v_7v_{10} \notin E(G)$.

Similarly, we know either $v_8v_9 \in E(G)$ or $v_8v_{10} \in E(G)$. But if $v_8v_9 \in E(G)$, $N(v_3) \cap N(v_9) = \{v_4, v_7, v_8\}$ and for the other neighbors v_1, v_2 of v_3, $d(v_1) = d(v_2) = 5$. So $v_8v_9 \notin E(G)$ and $v_8v_{10} \in E(G)$.

Now $v_6v_9 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_9)|$), similarly $v_6v_{10} \in E(G)$. We deduce $v_7v_8 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_5) \cap N(v_7)|$), coupled with $v_7v_{10} \notin E(G)$, so there are two new neighbors v_{11}, v_{12} of v_7. Then $v_8v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_{11})|$), similarly $v_8v_{12} \in E(G)$. Next we consider whether $\{v_9v_{11}, v_9v_{12}, v_{10}v_{11}, v_{10}v_{12}\} \cap E(G) = \emptyset$ or not.

Similar to Cases 3.2.1 and 3.2.2, we obtain the graphs G_7 and G_8 depicted in Figure 1.

Case 4. $(a, b, c) = (0, 0, 0)$.

Similarly, we find the underlying graphs $G_9, G_{10}, G_{11}, G_{12}, G_{13}, G_{14}$ with the even neighbors property. Details are as follows

In this situation we have $v_2v_5 \notin E(G)$, $v_3v_5 \notin E(G)$, $v_4v_5 \notin E(G)$. So $v_5v_6 \notin E(G)$, otherwise $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_5)| = 1$, which contradicts to Lemma 2.2.

Thus there are two new neighbors v_7, v_8 of v_2. $|N(v_2) \cap N(v_5)|$ implies $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$ or $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$. Without loss of generality, let $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$ and $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$. Now we are not sure whether $v_3v_7 \in E(G)$, $v_4v_7 \in E(G)$ or not, We note that v_3 and v_4 are symmetric, so we only need to discuss the three cases according to $|\{v_3v_7, v_4v_7\} \cap E(G)|$.

Case 4.1. $|\{v_3, v_4\} \cap N(v_7)| = 2$.

In this case, $v_3v_7 \in E(G)$ and $v_4v_7 \in E(G)$. Then $v_3v_8 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_2) \cap N(v_3)|$), $v_4v_8 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_4)|$). $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_8)|$ implies $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$ or $v_6v_8 \in E(G)$, and not both.

We deduce $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$, otherwise $|N(v_4) \cap N(v_5)|$ contradicts to Lemma 2.2, so $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$ and $v_6v_8 \in E(G)$. Then $v_6v_7 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_6)|$), coupled with $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$ and $|N(v_7) \cap N(v_8)|$, so v_7 and v_8 have another common neighbor, denote it by v_9. Then $v_5v_6 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_5)|$), $v_5v_9 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_5) \cap N(v_7)|$). So v_5 has three new neighbors, denoted by v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}. Then $v_6v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_{10})|$), $v_9v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_7) \cap N(v_{10})|$). Similarly $v_6v_{11} \in E(G)$($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_{11})|$), $v_9v_{11} \in E(G)$($|N(v_7) \cap N(v_{11})|$), $v_6v_{12} \in E(G)$($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_{12})|$), $v_9v_{12} \in E(G)$($|N(v_7) \cap N(v_{12})|$). Now $N(v_{10}) \supseteq \{v_5, v_6, v_9\}$, $N(v_{11}) \supseteq \{v_5, v_6, v_9\}$, $N(v_{12}) \supseteq \{v_5, v_6, v_9\}$.

Case 4.1.1. $\{v_{10}v_{11}, v_{10}v_{12}, v_{11}v_{12}\} \cap E(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Without loss of generality, let $v_{10}v_{11} \in E(G)$. Then $v_{10}v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_9) \cap N(v_{10})|$), $v_{11}v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_{10}) \cap N(v_{11})|$). Now $d(v_i) = 5$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., 12$, then the graph is G_9 as shown in Figure 1.

Case 4.1.2. $\{v_{10}v_{11}, v_{10}v_{12}, v_{11}v_{12}\} \cap E(G) = \emptyset$.

Similar to Case 4.1.1, we obtain the graph G_{10} as shown in Figure 1.

Case 4.2. $|\{v_3, v_4\} \cap N(v_7)| = 1$.

Without loss of generality, let $v_3v_7 \in E(G)$ and $v_4v_7 \notin E(G)$. Then $v_3v_8 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_2) \cap N(v_3)|$), $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_7)|$ implies either $v_4v_7 \in E(G)$ or $v_6v_7 \in E(G)$, and not both. Coupled with $v_4v_7 \notin E(G)$, we conclude $v_6v_7 \in E(G)$. $|N(v_2) \cap N(v_7)|$ implies either $v_4v_7 \in E(G)$ or $v_7v_8 \in E(G)$, and not both. Coupled with $v_4v_7 \notin E(G)$, we conclude that $v_7v_8 \in E(G)$. Then $v_4v_8 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_4)|$). There are two neighbors v_9, v_{10} of v_4. $|N(v_4) \cap N(v_5)|$ implies $v_5v_9 \in E(G)$ or $v_5v_{10} \in E(G)$, and not both. Without loss of generality, let $v_5v_9 \in E(G)$ and $v_5v_{10} \notin E(G)$. $|N(v_4) \cap N(v_6)|$ implies either $v_6v_9 \in E(G)$ or $v_6v_{10} \in E(G)$, and not both.

We claim that $v_6v_9 \notin E(G)$. Otherwise $N(v_1) \cap N(v_9) = \{v_4, v_5, v_6\}$ and for the other neighbors v_2 and v_3 of v_1, $d(v_2) = d(v_3) = 5$. This contradicts to Lemma 2.2, so $v_6v_9 \notin E(G)$ and $v_6v_{10} \in E(G)$.

$|N(v_2) \cap N(v_9)|$ implies either $v_7v_9 \in E(G)$ or $v_8v_9 \in E(G)$, and not both. But $d(v_7) = 5$, so $v_8v_9 \in E(G)$. Similarly $v_8v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_2) \cap N(v_{10})|$), $v_5v_6 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_5)|$), $v_5v_{10} \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_5)|$). There are two new neighbors v_{11}, v_{12} of v_5. Then $v_6v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_{11})|$). Similarly $v_6v_{12} \in E(G)$, $v_9v_{10} \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_8) \cap N(v_9)|$). So far, $d(v_9) = d(v_{10}) = 3$, $d(v_{11}) = d(v_{12}) = 2$.

Case 4.2.1. $\{v_9v_{11}, v_9v_{12}, v_{10}v_{11}, v_{10}v_{12}\} \cap E(G) \neq \emptyset$.

Without loss of generality, let $v_9v_{11} \in E(G)$. Then $v_9v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_6) \cap N(v_9)|$), $v_{10}v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_{11})|$). Similarly $v_{10}v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($N(v_4) \cap N(v_{12})$). Then $v_{11}v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_6) \cap N(v_{11})|$). Since $d(v_i) = 5$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., 12$, the graph is G_{11} as shown in Figure 1.

Case 4.2.2. $\{v_9v_{11}, v_9v_{12}, v_{10}v_{11}, v_{10}v_{12}\} \cap E(G) = \emptyset$.

Similarly, we obtain the graph G_{12} with order $n \geq 12$ as shown in Figure 1.

Case 4.3. $|\{v_3, v_4\} \cap N(v_7)| = 0$.

In this case, $v_3v_7 \notin E(G)$. Then $v_3v_8 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_2) \cap N(v_3)|$), so there are another two new neighbors v_9, v_{10} of v_3. $|N(v_3) \cap N(v_5)|$ implies either $v_5v_9 \in E(G)$ or $v_5v_{10} \in E(G)$, and not both. Without loss of generality, let $v_5v_9 \in E(G)$ and $v_5v_{10} \notin E(G)$. $v_4v_7 \notin E(G)$ and $|N(v_2) \cap N(v_4)|$ imply $v_4v_8 \notin E(G)$. So far, we do not know whether $v_4v_9 \in E(G)$ or not. Next we will discuss the following two cases.

Case 4.3.1. $v_4v_9 \in E(G)$.

In this case, $v_4v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_4)|$), $v_6v_9 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_9)|$), and $v_9v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_9)|$). $|N(v_3) \cap N(v_7)|$ implies either $v_7v_9 \in E(G)$ or $v_7v_{10} \in E(G)$, and not both. Since $d(v_9) = 5$, $v_7v_{10} \in E(G)$ and $v_7v_9 \notin E(G)$. $|N(v_3) \cap N(v_8)|$ implies either $v_8v_9 \in E(G)$ or $v_8v_{10} \in E(G)$, and not both. Since $d(v_9) = 5$, $v_8v_9 \notin E(G)$ and $v_8v_{10} \in E(G)$. $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_8)|$ implies either $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$ or $v_6v_8 \in E(G)$, and not both.

We claim that $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$. Otherwise if $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$, then $|N(v_2) \cap N(v_5)|$ implies either $v_3v_5 \in E(G)$ or $v_4v_5 \in E(G)$, and not both. But $d(v_3) = d(v_4) = 5$, this is a contradiction.

So $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$, $v_6v_8 \in E(G)$. So far, $d(v_5) = d(v_6) = d(v_7) = d(v_8) = 3$, $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_5)|$

implies $v_5v_6 \notin E(G)$. Coupled with $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$, there are two new neighbors v_{11}, v_{12} of v_5. Then $v_6v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_{11})|$). Similarly $v_6v_{12} \in E(G)$. So far, $d(v_7) = d(v_8) = 3$, $d(v_{11}) = d(v_{12}) = 2$. This situation is similar to Cases 4.2.1 and 4.2.2, and we obtain the class of graphs G_{13} as shown in Figure 1.

Case 4.3.2. $v_4v_9 \notin E(G)$.

Similarly, we obtain the graph G_{14} as shown in Figure 1. □

The graphs in Figure 2 are the connected 5-regular graphs with the even neighborhood property that contains at least a triangle and contains no K_4 as an induced subgraph.

Theorem 2.5. *Let G^σ be a connected 5-regular optimum skew energy oriented graph on n vertices, if the underlying graph G contains a triangle and contains no K_4 as an induced subgraph, then G must be one graph depicted in Figure 2.*



Figure 2: All the possible underlying graphs for Theorem 2.5.

Proof. We assume the three vertices of a triangle in G are v_1, v_2, v_3. Let v_4, v_5, v_6 be the other three neighbors of v_1. By Lemma 2.2, we know v_2 must be adjacent to at least one vertex of v_4, v_5, v_6. Without loss of generality, let $v_2v_4 \in E(G)$. Since $N(v_1) \cap N(v_3) \supseteq \{v_2\}$, v_3 must be adjacent to at least one vertex of v_4, v_5, v_6. We deduce $v_3v_4 \notin E(G)$, otherwise the graph has an induced subgraph K_4 with the induced vertices v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4. Then $v_3v_5 \in E(G)$ or $v_3v_6 \in E(G)$, without loss of generality, we assume that $v_3v_5 \in E(G)$ and $v_3v_6 \notin E(G)$. $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_4)|$ implies either $v_4v_5 \in E(G)$ or $v_4v_6 \in E(G)$. Next we will discuss the cases according to whether $v_4v_5 \in E(G)$ or not.

Case 1. $v_4v_5 \in E(G)$.

We deduce $v_2v_5 \notin E(G)$ and $v_2v_6 \notin E(G)$, otherwise if $v_2v_5 \in E(G)$, then $v_2v_6 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_2)|$). Thus the graph has an induced subgraph K_4 with vertices v_1, v_2, v_3, v_5, so $v_2v_5 \notin E(G)$. Then $v_2v_6 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_2)|$).

Thus there are two new neighbors v_7, v_8 of v_2. $|N(v_2) \cap N(v_3)|$ and $v_3v_4 \notin E(G)$ imply either $v_3v_7 \in E(G)$ or $v_3v_8 \in E(G)$, and not both. Without loss of generality, let $v_3v_7 \in E(G)$ and

$v_3v_8 \notin E(G)$. Then $v_3v_6 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_3)|$), and thus there is a new neighbors v_9 of v_3. $|N(v_3) \cap N(v_4)|$ implies either $v_4v_7 \in E(G)$ or $v_4v_9 \in E(G)$, and not both.

We deduce $v_4v_9 \notin E(G)$. Otherwise if $v_4v_9 \in E(G)$ and $v_4v_7 \notin E(G)$, then $v_4v_8 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_2) \cap N(v_4)|$), $|N(v_2) \cap N(v_5)|$ implies either $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$ or $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$, not both.

If $v_5v_7 \notin E(G)$ and $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$, then $v_5v_9 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_5)|$). We deduce $d(v_4) = d(v_5) = 5$ and $|N(v_4) \cap N(v_5)| = 3$. This contradicts to Lemma 2.2.

If $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$ and $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$, then $v_5v_9 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_5)|$). We deduce $d(v_3) = d(v_5) = 5$ and $|N(v_3) \cap N(v_5)| = 3$. This is a contradiction to Lemma 2.2.

So $v_5v_7 \notin E(G)$ and $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$. Therefore $v_4v_9 \notin E(G)$ and $v_4v_7 \in E(G)$.

We deduce $v_4v_6 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_4)|$), $v_4v_8 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_2) \cap N(v_4)|$) and $v_4v_9 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_4)|$), so there is a new neighbor v_{10} of v_4. $|N(v_2) \cap N(v_5)|$ implies either $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$ or $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$, and not both. We claim $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$ and $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$. Otherwise if $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$ and $v_5v_7 \notin E(G)$, then $v_5v_9 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_5)|$). But $d(v_4) = d(v_5) = 5$ and $|N(v_4) \cap N(v_5)| = 1$, this contradicts to Lemma 2.2. So $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$ and $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$.

We deduce $v_5v_6 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_5)|$), $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_2) \cap N(v_5)|$), $v_5v_9 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_5)|$), and $v_5v_{10} \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_5)|$). So there is a new neighbor v_{11} of v_5. Then $v_6v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_{11})|$). $|N(v_2) \cap N(v_6)|$ implies $v_6v_7 \in E(G)$ or $v_6v_8 \in E(G)$, and not both. But if $v_6v_7 \in E(G)$, then $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_7)| = 5$, so $v_6v_7 \notin E(G)$ and $v_6v_8 \in E(G)$. Then $v_6v_9 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_6)|$), $v_6v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_6)|$), $v_7v_8 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_2) \cap N(v_7)|$), $v_7v_9 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_7)|$), $v_7v_{10} \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_6) \cap N(v_7)|$), and $v_7v_{11} \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_6) \cap N(v_7)|$). So there is a new neighbor v_{12} of v_7. Then $v_8v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_2) \cap N(v_{12})|$), $v_9v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_{12})|$), $v_{10}v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_{12})|$), $v_{11}v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_5) \cap N(v_{12})|$), $v_8v_9 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_8)|$), $v_9v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_5) \cap N(v_9)|$), $v_8v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_8)|$), and $v_{10}v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_9) \cap N(v_{10})|$). Since $d(v_i) = 5$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., 12$, the graph is G_{15} as shown in Figure 2.

Case 2. $v_4v_5 \notin E(G)$.

In this case we have $v_4v_6 \in E(G)$. $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_5)|$ implies either $v_2v_5 \in E(G)$ or $v_5v_6 \in E(G)$, and not both. But if $v_2v_5 \in E(G)$, then there is an induced subgraph K_4 with the induced vertices v_1, v_2, v_3, v_5. So $v_2v_5 \notin E(G)$ and $v_5v_6 \in E(G)$. Then $v_2v_6 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_2)|$). So there are two new neighbors v_7, v_8 of v_2. $|N(v_2) \cap N(v_3)|$ implies either $v_3v_7 \in E(G)$ or $v_3v_8 \in E(G)$, and not both. Without loss of generality, let $v_3v_7 \in E(G)$ and $v_3v_8 \notin E(G)$. Now $v_3v_4 \notin E(G)$, otherwise there is an induced subgraph K_4 with induce vertices v_1, v_2, v_3, v_4. Then $v_3v_6 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_3)|$), $v_3v_8 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_2) \cap N(v_3)|$). So there is a new neighbor v_9 of v_3. $|N(v_2) \cap N(v_4)|$ implies either $v_4v_7 \in E(G)$ or $v_4v_8 \in E(G)$, and not both.

We claim $v_4v_7 \notin E(G)$ and $v_4v_8 \in E(G)$. Otherwise if $v_4v_7 \in E(G)$, $v_4v_9 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_4)|$), $|N(v_7) \cap N(v_1)|$ implies either $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$ or $v_6v_7 \in E(G)$.

If $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$, then $v_5v_9 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_5)|$). But $|N(v_3) \cap N(v_5)| = 3$ and $d(v_3) = d(v_5) = 5$, this contradicts to Lemma 2.2.

If $v_6v_7 \in E(G)$, similarly we obtain $v_6v_9 \in E(G)$, $|N(v_4) \cap N(v_6)| = 3$ and $d(v_4) = d(v_6) = 5$, this contradicts to Lemma 2.2.

Thus we know $v_4v_7 \notin E(G)$ and $v_4v_8 \in E(G)$. Then $v_4v_5 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_4)|$), $|N(v_3) \cap N(v_4)|$ implies $v_4v_7 \notin E(G)$ and $v_4v_9 \notin E(G)$, so there is a new neighbor v_{10} of v_4. Then $v_7v_8 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_2) \cap N(v_7)|$). $|N(v_3) \cap N(v_5)|$ implies either $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$ or $v_5v_9 \in E(G)$, and not both. We claim $v_5v_7 \notin E(G)$, otherwise $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$ and $v_6v_7 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_7)|$). But $d(v_4) = d(v_7) = 5$ and $|N(v_4) \cap N(v_7)| = 3$, this contradicts to Lemma 2.2. So $v_5v_7 \notin E(G)$ and $v_5v_9 \in E(G)$.

Now $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_5)|$), $v_5v_{10} \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_5)|$). So there is a new neighbor v_{11} of v_5. Then $v_7v_9 \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_9)|$), $v_6v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_{10})|$), $v_6v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_1) \cap N(v_{11})|$), $v_7v_{10} \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_6) \cap N(v_7)|$), $v_7v_{11} \notin E(G)$ ($|N(v_6) \cap N(v_7)|$). So there is a new neighbor v_{12} of v_7. Then $v_8v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_2) \cap N(v_{12})|$), $v_9v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_{12})|$), $v_{10}v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_{12})|$), $v_{11}v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_5) \cap N(v_{12})|$), $v_8v_{10} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_4) \cap N(v_8)|$), and $v_9v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_5) \cap N(v_9)|$), $v_{10}v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_9) \cap N(v_{10})|$). Since $d(v_i) = 5$ for $i = 1, 2, ..., 12$, the graph is G_{16} as shown in Figure 2.

□

The graphs in Figure 3 are the connected 5-regular graphs with the even neighborhood property that contains no K_3 as an induced subgraph.

Theorem 2.6. *Let G^σ be a connected 5-regular optimum skew energy oriented graph on n vertices. If the underlying graph G contains no triangle, then G is one of the graphs depicted in Figure 3.*

Proof. Since the graph G is triangle-free, any two vertices which are adjacent to a common vertex in G are not be adjacent.

Let $v_1 \in V(G)$ and $N(v_1) = \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$, then v_2 is not adjacent to any vertices of v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6. So there are four new neighbors v_7, v_8, v_9, v_{10} of v_2. Now $N(v_1) \cap N(v_7) \supseteq \{v_2\}$, by Lemma 2.2 we know the possible values of $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_7)|$ are 2 or 4. Similarly $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_8)| =$ 2 or 4, $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_9)| =$ 2 or 4, $|N(v_1) \cap N(v_{10})| =$ 2 or 4. Let $a_i = |N(v_1) \cap N(v_{i+6})|$, for $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$. By symmetry, we only need to consider the following cases according to the vector (a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4). They are $(4, 4, 4, 4)$, $(4, 4, 4, 2)$, $(4, 4, 2, 2)$, $(4, 2, 2, 2)$, $(2, 2, 2, 2)$. We assume $S_1 = \{v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$ and $S_2 = \{v_7, v_8, v_9, v_{10}\}$ for convenience.

Case 1. $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (4, 4, 4, 4)$.

Now every vertex of S_1 and S_2 has just three neighbors in the other set.

Firstly, we prove the four sets $N(v_7) \cap S_1, N(v_8) \cap S_1, N(v_9) \cap S_1, N(v_{10}) \cap S_1$ are different each other. Otherwise, without loss of generality, let $N(v_7) \cap S_1 = N(v_8) \cap S_1$, and $v_3v_7 \in E(G)$, $v_4v_7 \in$



Figure 3: All the possible underlying graphs for Theorem 2.6

$E(G)$, $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$, $v_3v_8 \in E(G)$, $v_4v_8 \in E(G)$, and $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$. Now $N(v_7) \supseteq \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$, $N(v_8) \supseteq \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5\}$, $N(v_1) = \{v_2, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$, obviously $v_6v_7 \notin E(G)$, and $v_6v_8 \notin E(G)$. Since $N(v_2) \cap N(v_6) \supseteq \{v_1\}$, $v_6v_9 \in E(G)$ or $v_6v_{10} \in E(G)$, and not both. While $N(v_9) \supseteq \{v_2\}$, $N(v_{10}) \supseteq \{v_2\}$, without loss of generality we assume $v_6v_9 \in E(G)$ and $v_6v_{10} \notin E(G)$.

Since $a_3 = |N(v_1) \cap N(v_9)| = 4$ and $v_2v_9 \in E(G)$, $v_6v_9 \in E(G)$, and there are two neighbors of v_9 in $\{v_3, v_4, v_5\}$. Without loss of generality let $v_3v_9 \in E(G)$, $v_4v_9 \in E(G)$ and $v_5v_9 \notin E(G)$. Now $N(v_3) \supseteq \{v_1, v_7, v_8, v_9\}$, $N(v_4) \supseteq \{v_1, v_7, v_8, v_9\}$, $N(v_2) = \{v_1, v_7, v_8, v_9, v_{10}\}$. Then $v_3v_{10} \notin E(G)$, $v_4v_{10} \notin E(G)$, and $a_4 \leqslant 5 - 2 = 3$, this contradicts to $a_4 = 4$.

Because $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (4, 4, 4, 4)$, $S_1 = \{v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$ and $S_2 = \{v_7, v_8, v_9, v_{10}\}$, every vertex of S_2 is not adjacent to one vertex of S_1. From the proof above, we know any two vertices of S_2 have not three common neighbors in S_1. Without loss of generality, let $v_4v_7 \in E(G)$, $v_5v_7 \in E(G)$, $v_6v_7 \in E(G)$, $v_3v_7 \notin E(G)$, $v_3v_8 \in E(G)$, $v_5v_8 \in E(G)$, $v_6v_8 \in E(G)$, $v_4v_8 \notin E(G)$, $v_3v_9 \in E(G)$, $v_4v_9 \in E(G)$, $v_6v_9 \in E(G)$, $v_5v_9 \notin E(G)$, $v_3v_{10} \in E(G)$, $v_4v_{10} \in E(G)$, $v_5v_{10} \in E(G)$, $v_6v_{10} \notin E(G)$. The four sets $N(v_7) \cap S_1, N(v_8) \cap S_1, N(v_9) \cap S_1, N(v_{10}) \cap S_1$ are different to each other.

Since the graph contains no K_3, then $v_7v_8 \notin E(G)$, $v_7v_9 \notin E(G)$, $v_7v_{10} \notin E(G)$. $v_3v_7 \notin E(G)$, otherwise $|N(v_2) \cap N(v_3)| = 5$. Therefore there is a new neighbor v_{11} of v_7. Then $v_8v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_7) \cap N(v_8)|$), $v_9v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_7) \cap N(v_9)|$), $v_{10}v_{11} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_7) \cap N(v_{10})|$). Since the graph contains no triangle, similarly there is a new neighbor v_{12} of v_3. Then $v_4v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_4)|$), $v_5v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_5)|$), $v_6v_{12} \in E(G)$ ($|N(v_3) \cap N(v_6)|$). $|N(v_6) \cap N(v_{11})|$ and $d(v_1) = 5$ imply $v_{11}v_{12} \in E(G)$. Since $d(v_i) = 5$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, 12$, the graph is G_{17} as shown in Figure 3.

Case 2. $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (4, 4, 4, 2)$.

By using a method similar to that used in Case 1 of Theorem 2.6, we find the underlying graph G_{18} depicted in Figure 3.

Case 3. $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (4, 4, 2, 2)$.

Similarly, we find G_{19} and G_{20} as shown in Figure 3.

Case 4. $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (4, 2, 2, 2)$.

Similarly, we find $G_{21}, G_{22}, G_{23}, G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}$ as shown in Figure 3.

Case 5. $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (2, 2, 2, 2)$.

Now let $G^3 = G[S_1 \cup S_2] = G[v_3, \ldots, v_{10}]$. Since $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (2, 2, 2, 2)$, $|E(G^3)| = (2-1) + (2-1) + (2-1) + (2-1) = 4$. $N(v_2) \cap N(v_3) = \{v_1\}$, so we claim every vertex of S_1 and S_2 has just one neighbor in the other set. We say that such vertex sets S_1 and S_2 are *one-to-one* for convenience.

From $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = (2, 2, 2, 2)$, we know $N(v_1) - \{v_2\} = S_1$ and $N(v_2) - \{v_1\} = S_2$ are *one-to-one*. For any two adjacent vertices u_1, u_2 in $V(G)$, we can assume that $N(u_1) - \{u_2\}$ and

$N(u_2)-\{u_1\}$ are *one-to-one*, since Case 5 is the last case of the discussion for proving Theorem 2.6. If there are two adjacent vertices u_1', u_2' and $N(u_1')-\{u_2'\}$ and $N(u_2')-\{u_1'\}$ are not *one-to-one*, then $N(u_1')-\{u_2'\}$ and $N(u_2')-\{u_1'\}$ must belong to one of Case 1, 2, 3 or 4 by changing vertices u_1, u_2 to v_1, v_2, respectively. So our assume is reasonable.

By symmetry and the one-oneness of S_1 and S_2, we may assume $v_3v_7 \in E(G)$, $v_4v_8 \in E(G)$, $v_5v_9 \in E(G)$, and $v_6v_{10} \in E(G)$. Then $v_3v_8 \notin E(G)$, $v_3v_9 \notin E(G)$, $v_3v_{10} \notin E(G)$, $v_4v_7 \notin E(G)$, $v_4v_9 \notin E(G)$, $v_4v_{10} \notin E(G)$, $v_5v_7 \notin E(G)$, $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$, $v_5v_{10} \notin E(G)$, $v_6v_7 \notin E(G)$, $v_6v_8 \notin E(G)$, and $v_6v_9 \notin E(G)$. The graph is triangle-free, so $v_3v_4 \notin E(G)$, $v_3v_5 \notin E(G)$, and $v_3v_6 \notin E(G)$. Thus there are three new neighbors of v_3, denoted by v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{13}. Since $v_1v_3 \in E(G)$, $N(v_1)-\{v_3\} = \{v_2, v_4, v_5, v_6\}$ and $N(v_3)-\{v_1\} = \{v_7, v_{11}, v_{12}, v_{13}\}$ are *one-to-one*, coupled with $v_2v_7 \in E(G)$ and $N(v_{11}) \supseteq \{v_3\}$, $N(v_{12}) \supseteq \{v_3\}$, and $N(v_{13}) \supseteq \{v_3\}$. Without loss of generality, let $v_4v_{11} \in E(G)$, $v_5v_{12} \in E(G)$, and $v_6v_{13} \in E(G)$.

The graph is triangle-free, so $v_3v_5 \notin E(G)$, and $v_3v_6 \notin E(G)$. Since $N(v_1)-\{v_2\}$ and $N(v_2)-\{v_1\}$ are *one-to-one*, $v_4v_7 \notin E(G)$, $v_4v_9 \notin E(G)$, $v_4v_{10} \notin E(G)$. Since $N(v_1)-\{v_3\}$ and $N(v_3)-\{v_1\}$ are *one-to-one*, $v_4v_{12} \notin E(G)$, $v_4v_{13} \notin E(G)$. There are two new neighbors v_{14}, v_{15} of v_4. Since $v_1v_4 \in E(G)$, $N(v_1)-\{v_4\}$ and $N(v_4)-\{v_1\}$ are *one-to-one*. Then $N(v_1)-\{v_4\} = \{v_2, v_3, v_5, v_6\}, N(v_4)-\{v_1\} = \{v_8, v_{11}, v_{14}, v_{15}\}$, $v_2v_8 \in E(G)$, $v_3v_{11} \in E(G)$, $N(v_{14}) \supseteq \{v_4\}$, and $N(v_{15}) \supseteq \{v_4\}$. Without loss of generality, let $v_5v_{14} \in E(G)$, and $v_6v_{15} \in E(G)$.

The graph is triangle-free, so $v_5v_6 \notin E(G)$, $N(v_1)-\{v_2\}$ and $N(v_2)-\{v_1\}$ are *one-to-one*. So $v_5v_7 \notin E(G)$, $v_5v_8 \notin E(G)$, $v_5v_{10} \notin E(G)$, and $v_6v_9 \notin E(G)$. Since $N(v_1)-\{v_3\}$ and $N(v_3)-\{v_1\}$ are *one-to-one*, so $v_5v_{11} \notin E(G)$, $v_5v_{13} \notin E(G)$, $v_6v_{12} \notin E(G)$. Since $N(v_1)-\{v_4\}$ and $N(v_4)-\{v_1\}$ are *one-to-one*, so $v_5v_{15} \notin E(G)$, $v_6v_{14} \notin E(G)$. Then there is a new neighbor of v_5, say v_{16}. $|N(v_5) \cap N(v_6)|$ implies $v_6v_{16} \in E(G)$ or $v_6v_{12} \in E(G)$. Since $N(v_1)-\{v_3\}$ and $N(v_3)-\{v_1\}$ are *one-to-one*, $v_6v_{12} \notin E(G)$. Thus $v_6v_{16} \in E(G)$. The graph is triangle-free so $v_7v_8 \notin E(G)$, $v_7v_9 \notin E(G)$, $v_7v_{10} \notin E(G)$, $v_7v_{11} \notin E(G)$, $v_7v_{12} \notin E(G)$, and $v_7v_{13} \notin E(G)$. Let $B = \{v_7v_{14}, v_7v_{15}, v_7v_{16}, v_8v_{12}, v_8v_{13}, v_8v_{16}, v_9v_{11}, v_9v_{13}, v_9v_{15}, v_{10}v_{11}, v_{10}v_{12}, v_{10}v_{14}, v_{11}v_{16}, v_{12}v_{15}, v_{13}v_{14}\}$. Next we will discuss the following two cases.

Case 5.1. $E(G) \cap B \neq \emptyset$.

By using a method similar to that used in Case 1 of Theorem 2.6, we find G_{27} as shown in Figure 3.

Case 5.2. $E(G) \cap B = \emptyset$.

Similarly, we find $G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}, G_{31}, G_{32}$ as shown in Figure 3.

Now we have found all possible underlying graphs of connected 5-regular optimum skew energy oriented graphs with the even neighborhood property. □

By Theorems 2.4, 2.5 and 2.6, we obtain fourteen classes of all possible underlying graphs of connected 5-regular oriented graphs of order n with optimum skew energy. These fourteen classes of

underlying graphs are (I) G_1, (II) G_2, G_3, G_9, G_{10}, (III) G_4, G_5, G_6, (IV) G_7, G_8, G_{11}, G_{12}, G_{13}, (V) G_{14}, (VI) G_{15}, (VII) G_{16}, (VIII) $G_{17}, G_{19}, G_{20}, G_{21}$, (IX) G_{18}, G_{23}, G_{25}, (X) G_{22}, G_{24}, G_{26}, (XI) G_{27}, (XII) G_{28}, G_{30}, (XIII) G_{29}, (XIV) G_{31}, G_{32}.

3 All connected 5-regular optimum skew energy oriented graphs

In this section, we will prove exactly eleven classes of connected 5-regular graphs obtained in Section 2 have optimum orientations.

Let $C_n = v_1v_2, \ldots, v_n$ be a cycle on $n(\geq 3)$ vertices and $C'_n = u_1u_2, \ldots, u_n$ is a copy of C. We define $U_n = (V(U_n), E(U_n))$ to be the graph obtained from C_n and C'_n by inserting edges from v_i to $u_{i-1(mod\ n)}$ and $u_{i+1(mod\ n)}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$, see Fig. 2.1 in [7].

The Cartesian product of G_1 and G_2, denoted by $G_1\Box G_2$, is defined as a graph with vertex set $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$. Suppose $v_1, v_2 \in V(G_1)$ and $u_1, u_2 \in V(G_2)$. Then (u_1, v_1) and (u_2, v_2) are adjacent in $G_1\Box G_2$ if and only if $u_1u_2 \in E(G_1), v_1 = v_2$ or $u_1 = u_2, v_1v_2 \in E(G_2)$. For example, let $\mathbf{Q_1} = P_2$, and $\mathbf{Q_n} = \mathbf{Q_{n-1}}\Box P_2$ for $n \geq 2$. The graph $\mathbf{Q_n}$ is called the hypercube graph.

Lemma 3.1. *(Lemma 2.8 of [1]) If K_n has an orientation with $S(G^\sigma)^T S(G^\sigma) = (n-1)I_n$, then n is a multiple of 4.*

Lemma 3.2. *(Theorem 3.5 of [5]) Let G^σ be an oriented k-regular graph of G on n vertices with maximum skew energy $\varepsilon_s(G^\sigma) = n\sqrt{k}$. Then the oriented graph $(P_2\Box G)^o$ of $P_2\Box G$ has the maximum skew energy $\varepsilon_s(G^\sigma) = 2n\sqrt{k+1}$.*

Let $S(G^\sigma) = [s_{ij}]_{n\times n}$ be the skew adjacency matrix of G^σ and let $W = u_1u_2 \ldots u_k$ (perhaps $u_i = u_j$ for $i \neq j$) be a walk in G^σ joining u_1 and u_k. The sign of W, denoted by $sgn(W)$, is defined as

$$sgn(W) = \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} s_{u_iu_{i+1}}.$$

Denote by $w^+_{uv}(k)$ and $w^-_{uv}(k)$ the number of all positive walks and negative walks starting u ending v with the length k, respectively.

Lemma 3.3. *(Lemma 3.7 of [6], Proposition 2.4 of [3]) Let G^σ be a k-regular oriented graph on n vertices with skew adjacency matrix $S = S(G^\sigma)$. then $S^TS = kI_n$ if and only if for any two distinct vertices u and v of G^σ,*

$$w^+_{uv}(2) = w^-_{uv}(2).$$

In [6], Gong and Xu presented the following method to construct a new oriented graph with the optimum skew energy.

Let v be an arbitrary vertex of the oriented graph G^σ. The operation by reversing the orientations of all arcs incident with v and preserving the orientations of all its other arcs is called *a reversal of*

G^σ *at* v. Denote by $S(G^\sigma)$ and $S(G^\beta)$ the skew adjacency matrices of the oriented graph G^σ and G^β, respectively. Let G^β be a reversal of G^σ at v, then $S(G^\sigma) = PS(G^\beta)P^{-1}$. Hence

$$\varepsilon_s(G^\sigma) = \varepsilon_s(G^\beta),$$

where P is the diagonal matrix obtained from the identity matrix I_n by replacing the diagonal entry corresponding to vertices v by -1.

We will determine all connected 5-regular optimum skew energy oriented graphs as follow.

Theorem 3.4. *Let G^σ be a connected 5-regular optimum skew energy oriented graph of order n. Then G^σ is one of graphs with even neighborhood property depicted in Figure 4.*

Proof. In the following, we will prove three classes of graphs (I), (II) and (XI) (see the end of Section 2) have no optimum orientations, and the other classes of graphs have optimum orientations.

Each graph in the classes (V), (VI), (IX), (XII), and (XIII) has an optimum orientation.

For G_{14}, G_{15}, G_{18}, G_{23}, G_{25}, G_{28} and G_{29}, from [3] and [7], we know $U_n, (n \geq 3)$, $P_2 \square K_4$, Q_4 and G_3^σ (Fig. 3.4 in [3]) have optimum orientations, respectively. By Lemma 3.2, we obtain $G_{14} \cong P_2 \square (P_2 \square K_4)$, $G_{15} \cong P_2 \square U_3$, $G_{18} \cong P_2 \square U_4$, $G_{23} \cong P_2 \square U_5$, $G_{25} \cong P_2 \square U_n$, $G_{28} \cong P_2 \square G_3$ (G_3 of Fig. 3.4. in [3]), and $G_{29} \cong P_2 \square Q_4$ have optimum orientations, respectively.

Only graph G_4 of class (III) has an optimum orientation.

For the graph G_6 with order $n \geq 8$. we will prove that G_6 has an optimum orientation only when $n = 8$.

Let $\{(v_1, v_2), (v_1, v_{n-1}), (v_1, v_n), (v_1, v_3), (v_1, v_4)\} \subset E(G_6^\sigma)$. (We give a reversal of G_6^σ at v_2, v_3, v_4, v_{n-1} or v_n if necessary).

Since $|V| = n \geq 8$, the orientations of edges which are adjacent to v_6 are not determined, we can assume that $(v_4, v_6) \in E(G_6^\sigma)$, $(v_3, v_5) \in E(G_6^\sigma)$. (We give a reversal at v_6 or v_5 if necessary.) By Lemma 3.3, $w^+_{v_1v_6}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_6}(2)$ and $w^+_{v_1v_5}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_5}(2)$, thus $(v_6, v_3) \in E(G_6^\sigma)$ and $(v_5, v_4) \in E(G_6^\sigma)$, respectively. Next we will discuss the following two cases.

Case 1. $(v_2, v_4) \in E(G_6^\sigma)$.

By Lemma 3.3, we have $w^+_{v_1v_4}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_4}(2)$, thus $(v_4, v_3) \in E(G_6^\sigma)$. Similarly, $w^+_{v_1v_3}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_3}(2)$, thus $(v_3, v_2) \in E(G_6^\sigma)$. Then we find that $w^+_{v_2v_6}(2) = 2$, so there must be two negative paths adjoining v_2 and v_6. This means v_6 must be adjacent to v_{n-1} and v_n. So there must be eight vertices in G_6^σ.

Case 2. $(v_4, v_2) \in E(G_6^\sigma)$.

By Lemma 3.3, we have $w^+_{v_1v_4}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_4}(2)$, thus $(v_3, v_4) \in E(G_6^\sigma)$. Similarly, $w^+_{v_1v_3}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_3}(2)$, thus $(v_2, v_3) \in E(G_6^\sigma)$. Then we find that $w^-_{v_2v_6}(2) = 2$, so there must be two positive paths adjoining v_2 and v_6. This means v_6 must be adjacent to v_{n-1} and v_n. Hence there are eight vertices in the graph, too.



Figure 4: Eleven classes of connected 5-regular optimum skew energy oriented graphs.

Therefore there are eight vertices in G_6^σ. In fact, it is the graph which is isomorphic to G_4^σ.

The skew adjacency matrix of G_4^σ is the following

$$S(G_4^\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify $S(G_4^\sigma)^T S(G_4^\sigma) = 5I_n$. Then only graph G_4 of class (III) has an optimum orientation.

We now show that each graph of class (IV) has an optimum orientation.

For G_{12} of order $n \geq 12$. We know n must be multiple of 4. While the parity of $n/4$ is not determined, we will give two kinds of skew adjacency matrices of G_{12}^σ according to the parity of $n/4$. Here let

$$D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, D_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, Q_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix},$$

$$Q_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, Q_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, Q_4 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, Q_5 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

(1) $n/4$ is odd and $n \geq 12$.

Let the rows of $S(G_{12}^\sigma)$ correspond successively the vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$.

$$S(G_{12}^\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & Q_1 & & & & & & & \\ -Q_1^T & D_2 & Q_2 & & & & & & \\ & -Q_2^T & -D_2 & Q_3 & & & & & \\ & & -Q_3^T & D_2 & Q_2 & & & & \\ & & & -Q_2^T & -D_2 & Q_3 & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & -Q_3^T & D_2 & Q_2 & \\ & & & & & & -Q_2^T & -D_2 & Q_5 \\ & & & & & & & -Q_5^T & D_1^T \end{bmatrix}.$$

Next, we will prove $S(G_{12}^\sigma)^T S(G_{12}^\sigma) = 5I_n$.

$$-S[G_{12}^{\sigma}]^T S[G_{12}^{\sigma}] = -S[G_{12}^{\sigma}]^2 = \begin{bmatrix} A & G & K & & & & & \\ P & B & G & L & & & & \\ K^T & Q & C & I & M & & & \\ & L^T & R & D & H & L & & \\ & & M^T & Q & C & I & M & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & L^T & R & D & G & O \\ & & & & M^T & Q & E & J \\ & & & & & O^T & U & F \end{bmatrix},$$

where $A = {D_1}^2 - Q_1{Q_1}^T$, $B = -Q_1^TQ_1 + {D_2}^2 - Q_2{Q_2}^T$ $C = -Q_2^TQ_2 + {D_2}^2 - Q_3{Q_3}^T$, $D = -Q_3^TQ_3 + {D_2}^2 - Q_2{Q_2}^T$, $E = -Q_2^TQ_2 + {D_2}^2 - Q_5Q_5^T$, $F = -Q_5^TQ_5 + {D_1^T}^2$, $G = D_1Q_1 + Q_1D_2$, $H = D_2Q_2 - Q_2D_2$, $I = -D_2Q_3 + Q_3D_2$, $J = -D_2Q_5 + Q_5{D_1}^T$, $K = Q_1Q_2$, $L = Q_2Q_3$, $M = Q_3Q_2$, $O = Q_2Q_5$, $P = -Q_1^TD_1 - D_2Q_1^T$, $Q = -Q_2^TD_2 + D_2Q_2^T$, $R = Q_3^TD_2 - D_2Q_3^T$, $U = Q_5^TD_2 - D_1^TQ_5^T$.

We obtain $A = D_1^2 - Q_1Q_1^T = -5I_2$, $B = -Q_1^TQ_1 + {D_2}^2 - Q_2Q_2^T = -5I_4$, $C = -Q_2^TQ_2 + {D_2}^2 - Q_3{Q_3}^T = -5I_4$, $D = -Q_3^TQ_3 + {D_2}^2 - Q_2Q_2^T = -5I_4$, $E = -Q_2^TQ_2 + {D_2}^2 - Q_5Q_5^T = -5I_4$, $F = -Q_5^TQ_5 + {D_1^T}^2 = -5I_2$, $G = D_1Q_1 + Q_1D_2 = 0$, $H = D_2Q_2 - Q_2D_2 = 0$, $I = -D_2Q_3 + Q_3D_2 = 0$, $J = -D_2Q_5 + Q_5D_1^T = 0$, $K = Q_1Q_2 = 0$, $L = Q_2Q_3 = 0$, $M = Q_3Q_2 = 0$, $O = Q_2Q_5 = 0$.

Since $S(G_{12}^{\sigma})$ is an antisymmetric matrix, $-(S(G_{12}^{\sigma}))^2$ is a symmetric matrix, then

$$S(G_{12}^{\sigma})^T S(G_{12}^{\sigma}) = -(S(G_{12}^{\sigma}))^2 = 5I_n.$$

(2) $n/4$ is even and $n \geq 16$.

Let the skew adjacency matrix of graph G_{12}^{β} be $S(G_{12}^{\beta})$, and the rows of $S(G_{12}^{\beta})$ correspond successively the vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$.

$$S(G_{12}^{\beta}) = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & Q_1 & & & & & & & & \\ -Q_1^T & D_2 & Q_2 & & & & & & & \\ & -Q_2^T & -D_2 & Q_3 & & & & & & \\ & & -Q_3^T & D_2 & Q_2 & & & & & \\ & & & -Q_2^T & -D_2 & Q_3 & & & & \\ & & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & & & & -Q_2^T & -D_2 & Q_3 & & \\ & & & & & & -Q_3^T & D_2 & Q_4 & \\ & & & & & & & -Q_4^T & D_1^T & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Similarly we can prove $S(G_{12}^{\beta})^T S(G_{12}^{\beta}) = 5I_n$.

By Lemma 2.1, we know that each graph in class (IV) has an optimum orientation.

We will prove each graph in class (VII) has an optimum orientation.

For G_{16}, let the rows of $S(G_{16}^{\sigma})$ correspond successively the vertices $v_3, v_2, v_4, v_6, v_5, v_1, v_9$,

$v_7, v_8, v_{10}, v_{11}, v_{12}$. It follows that,

$$S(G_{16}^{\sigma}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that $S(G_{16}^{\sigma})^T S(G_{16}^{\sigma}) = 5I_{12}$. Then each graph in class (VII) has an optimum orientation.

For the graphs of class (VIII), such as G_{20} of order $n \geq 12$, we will prove that only when $n = 12$ (it is G_{17}), G_{20} has an optimum orientation. Next we give the skew adjacency matrix of G_{17}^{σ} with rows corresponding to $v_1, v_{10}, v_{12}, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_9, v_8, v_7, v_2, v_6, v_{11}$.

$$S(G_{17}^{\sigma}) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify that $S(G_{17}^{\sigma})^T S(G_{17}^{\sigma}) = 5I_{12}$.

For the graph G_{20} with order $n > 12$, from the structure of G_{20} we know $n \geq 18$. Let $\{(v_1, v_4), (v_1, v_5), (v_1, v_{n-2}), (v_{n-1}, v_1), (v_n, v_1)\} \subset E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$, otherwise we can give a reversal of G_{20}^{σ} at $v_4, v_5, v_{n-2}, v_{n-1}, v_n$.

Let $(v_4, v_7) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$ (we give a reversal of G_{20}^{σ} at v_7 if necessary). $v_1v_4v_7$ and $v_1v_5v_7$ are two paths between v_1 and v_7 with length 2. $v_1v_4v_7$ is positive, we have $v_1v_5v_7$ is negative by applying Lemma 3.3, thus $(v_7, v_5) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$.

Similarly, let $(v_4, v_8) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$, $(v_4, v_9) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$ (we give a reversal of G_{20}^{σ} at v_8 or v_9 if necessary). By applying Lemma 3.3, $w^+_{v_1v_8}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_8}(2)$, $w^+_{v_1v_9}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_9}(2)$, thus $(v_7, v_5) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$, $(v_8, v_5) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$, $(v_9, v_5) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$.

Let $(v_4, v_2) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$ (we give a reversal of G_{20}^{σ} at v_2 if necessary). Let $(v_6, v_2) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$ (we give a reversal of G_{20}^{σ} at v_6 if necessary). By applying Lemma 3.3, $w^+_{v_2v_7}(2) = w^-_{v_2v_7}(2)$, $w^+_{v_2v_8}(2) = w^-_{v_2v_8}(2)$, $w^+_{v_2v_9}(2) = w^-_{v_2v_9}(2)$, thus $(v_7, v_6) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$, $(v_8, v_6) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$, $(v_9, v_6) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$.

Let $(v_5, v_3) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$ (we give a reversal of G_{20}^{σ} at v_3 if necessary). Since $w^+_{v_3v_7}(2) = w^-_{v_3v_7}(2)$, $(v_3, v_6) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$.

$w^+_{v_4v_{n-2}}(2) = w^-_{v_4v_{n-2}}(2)$, $w^+_{v_4v_{n-1}}(2) = w^-_{v_4v_{n-1}}(2)$, $w^+_{v_4v_n}(2) = w^-_{v_4v_n}(2)$, thus $(v_2, v_{n-2}) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$, $(v_{n-1}, v_2) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$, $(v_n, v_2) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$.

$w^+_{v_6v_{n-2}}(2) = w^-_{v_6v_{n-2}}(2)$, $w^+_{v_6v_{n-1}}(2) = w^-_{v_6v_{n-1}}(2)$, $w^+_{v_6v_n}(2) = w^-_{v_6v_n}(2)$, thus $(v_3, v_{n-2}) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$, $(v_{n-1}, v_3) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$, $(v_n, v_3) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$.

Since $n > 12$, we know that any edge which is adjacent to v_{10} is not oriented, so let $(v_7, v_{10}) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$ (we give a reversal of G_{20}^{σ} at v_{10} if necessary). Similarly let $(v_7, v_{11}) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$ (we give a reversal of G_{20}^{σ} at v_{11} if necessary). By applying Lemma 3.3, $w^+_{v_4v_{10}}(2) = w^-_{v_4v_{10}}(2)$, $w^+_{v_4v_{11}}(2) = w^-_{v_4v_{11}}(2)$, thus $(v_{10}, v_8) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$, $(v_{11}, v_9) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$.

Let $(v_9, v_{12}) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$ (we give a reversal of G_{20}^{σ} at v_{12} if necessary). By applying Lemma 3.3, $w^+_{v_6v_{12}}(2) = w^-_{v_6v_{12}}(2)$, thus $(v_{12}, v_8) \in E(G_{20}^{\sigma})$.

We find $w^+_{v_7v_8}(2) = 1$, $w^-_{v_7v_8}(2) = 3$, this is a contradiction to Lemma 3.3. So each graph of class (VIII) have no optimum orientations for $n > 12$.

Each graph of class (X) has an optimum orientation.

For G_{26}^{σ} of order $n \geq 16$, let $n = 4k$ for convenience and the rows of $S(G_{26}^{\sigma})$ correspond successively the vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$.

$$S(G_{26}^{\sigma}) = \left[\begin{array}{c:c} A_1 & A_2 \\ \hdashline -A_2^T & A_3 \end{array}\right].$$

Because the skew adjacency matrix $S(G_{26}^{\sigma})$ is skew symmetric, so A_1 and A_3 are also skew symmetric matrices. Let

$$M = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right], M_1 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right], M_2 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right], M_3 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right], M_4 = \left[\begin{smallmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{smallmatrix}\right].$$

$$A_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & M_2 & & & & & & \\ -M_2^T & 0 & M_3 & & & & & \\ & -M_3^T & 0 & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & M_3 & & & \\ & & & -M_3^T & 0 & M_3 & & \\ & & & & -M_3^T & 0 & & \end{bmatrix}, A_2 = \begin{bmatrix} M & & & -M_3 \\ & M_1 & M_1 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ M_4 & & & M_1 \end{bmatrix}, A_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & M_3^T & & & & & & \\ -M_3 & 0 & -M_3^T & & & & & \\ & M_3 & 0 & \ddots & & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & -M_3^T & & & \\ & & & M_3 & 0 & -M_3^T & & \\ & & & & M_3 & 0 & & \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then

$$S(G_{26}^{\sigma})^T S(G_{26}^{\sigma}) = -S(G_{26}^{\sigma})^2 = -\begin{bmatrix} A_1^2 - A_2A_2^T & A_1A_2 + A_2A_3 \\ -A_2^TA_1 - A_3A_2^T & -A_2^TA_2 + A_3^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$A_1A_2 + A_2A_3$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & M_2M_1 & & & & & \\ -M_2^TM & 0 & M_3M_1 & & & & M_2^TM_3 \\ & -M_3^TM_1 & 0 & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & M_3M_1 & & \\ M_3M_4 & & & -M_3^TM_1 & 0 & M_3M_1 & \\ & & & & -M_3^TM_1 & 0 & \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & MM_3^T & & & -M_3^2 & \\ -M_1M_3 & 0 & -M_1M_3^T & & & \\ & M_1M_3 & 0 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & -M_1M_3^T & \\ & & & M_1M_3 & 0 & -M_1M_3^T \\ & M_4M_3^T & & & M_1M_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & M_2M_1+MM_3^T & & & -M_3^2 & 0 \\ -M_2^TM-M_1M_3 & 0 & M_3M_1-M_1M_3^T & & & M_2^TM_3 \\ & -M_3^TM_1+M_1M_3 & 0 & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & M_3M_1-M_1M_3^T & \\ M_3M_4 & & & -M_3^TM_1+M_1M_3 & 0 & M_3M_1-M_1M_3^T \\ 0 & & M_4M_3^T & & -M_3^TM_1+M_1M_3 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to certify that $M_2M_1 + MM_3^T = 0$, $M_2^TM + M_1M_3 = 0$, $M_3M_1 - M_1M_3^T = 0$, $-M_3^TM_1 + M_1M_3 = 0$,$M_2^TM_3 = 0$, $-M_3^2 = 0$, $M_3M_4 = 0$, $M_4M_3^T = 0$, so $A_1A_2 + A_2A_3 = 0$.

Since A_1 and A_3 are skew symmetric,

$$-A_2^TA_1 - A_3A_2^T = A_2^TA_1^T + A_3^TA_2^T = (A_1A_2 + A_2A_3)^T = 0.$$

$$A_1^2 - A_2A_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 & 0 & X_5 & & & & & \\ 0 & X_2 & 0 & X_6 & & & & \\ X_5{}^T & 0 & X_3 & 0 & X_6 & & & \\ & X_6{}^T & 0 & X_3 & 0 & X_6 & & \\ & & X_6{}^T & 0 & X_3 & 0 & X_6 & \\ & & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \\ & & & & & & 0 & X_6 \\ & & & & & 0 & X_3 & 0 \\ & & & & & X_6{}^T & 0 & X_4 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} M^2+M_3M_3^T & & & & MM_4^T - M_3M_1 \\ & M_1^2 & & & \\ & & M_1^2 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ M_4M - M_1M_3^T & & & & M_4M_4^T + M_1^2 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $X_1 = -M_2M_2^T$, $X_2 = -M_2^TM_2 - M_3M_3^T$, $X_3 = -M_3^TM_3 - M_3M_3^T$, $X_4 = -M_3^TM_3$, $X_5 = M_2M_3$, $X_6 = {M_3}^2$.

Note that, $X_5 = M_2M_3 = 0$, $X_6 = {M_3}^2 = 0$, $X_2 = -M_2^TM_2 - M_3M_3^T = -4M$, $X_3 = -M_3^TM_3 - M_3M_3^T = -4M$,$MM_4^T - M_3M_1 = 0$, $M_4M - M_1M_3^T = 0$, $M_1^2 = M$.

$$A_1^2 - A_2A_2^T = \begin{bmatrix} X_1 - M^2 - M_3M_3^T & & & & \\ & X_2 - M_1^2 & & & \\ & & X_3 - M_1^2 & & \\ & & & \ddots & \\ & & & & X_4 - M_4M_4^T - M_1^2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here, $X_1 - M^2 - M_3M_3^T = -5M$, $X_4 - M_4M_4^T - M_1^2 = -5M$, $X_2 - M_1^2 = X_3 - M_1^2 = -5M$, thus $A_1^2 - A_2A_2^T = -5I_{\frac{n}{2}}$.

Similarly, we can prove $A_3^2 - A_2^T A_2 = -5I_{\frac{n}{2}}$, so $S(G_{26}^\sigma)^T S(G_{26}^\sigma) = -S(G_{26}^\sigma)^2 = 5I_n$. From Lemma 2.1, we obtain that G_{26}^σ has the optimum orientation.

Each graph of class (XIV) has an optimum orientation.

Since $G_{31} \cong G_{32}$, Let the rows of $S(G_{31}^\sigma)$ correspond successively the vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{24}$.

$$S(G_{31}^\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to certify that, $S(G_{31}^\sigma)^T S(G_{31}^\sigma) = 5I_{24}$.

The graphs of classes (I) and (II) have no optimum orientations.

From Lemma 3.1, we know that for $G_1 \cong K_6$, if $S(G_1^\sigma)^T S(G_1^\sigma) = 5I_n$, then $n = 0(mod\ 4)$. While G_1 has 6 vertices, so the graph G_1 has no optimum orientations.

We will prove that the class of graphs G_3 with order $n \geq 12$ have no optimum orientations. We prove by contradiction.

Otherwise, let $\{(v_2, v_1), (v_2, v_3), (v_2, v_4), (v_2, v_5), (v_2, v_6)\} \subset E(G_3^\sigma)$. (We give a reversal of G_3^σ at v_1, v_3, v_4, v_5, v_6 if necessary.)

Let $(v_4, v_7) \in E(G_3^\sigma)$. (We give a reversal of G_3^σ at v_7 if necessary.) By applying Lemma 3.3, we have $w^+_{v_2v_7}(2) = w^-_{v_2v_7}(2)$, thus $(v_7, v_5) \in E(G_3^\sigma)$.

Let $(v_4, v_8) \in E(G_3^\sigma)$. (We give a reversal of G_3^σ at v_8 if necessary.) By applying Lemma 3.3, we have $w^+_{v_2v_8}(2) = w^-_{v_2v_8}(2)$, thus $(v_8, v_6) \in E(G_3^\sigma)$.

Let $(v_6, v_9) \in E(G_3^\sigma)$. (We give a reversal of G_3^σ at v_9 if necessary.) By applying Lemma 3.3, we have $w^+_{v_2v_9}(2) = w^-_{v_2v_9}(2)$, thus $(v_9, v_5) \in E(G_3^\sigma)$.

Now we are not sure whether $(v_1, v_4) \in E(G_3^\sigma)$, so we discuss the following two cases.

Case 1. $(v_1, v_4) \in E(G_3^\sigma)$.

By Lemma 3.3, we have $w^+_{v_1v_7}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_7}(2)$ and $w^+_{v_1v_9}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_9}(2)$, then $(v_1, v_5) \in E(G)$ and $(v_1, v_6) \in E(G_3^\sigma)$, respectively. Now we find the four paths $v_1v_3v_2$, $v_1v_4v_2$, $v_1v_5v_2$, $v_1v_6v_2$ of length 2 between v_1 and v_2. Since $w^-_{v_1v_2}(2) = 3$, $w^+_{v_1v_2}(2) \neq w^-_{v_1v_2}(2)$. Then this contradicts to Lemma 3.3.

Case 2. $(v_1, v_4) \notin E(G_3^\sigma)$.

Then $(v_4, v_1) \in E(G_3^\sigma)$. By applying Lemma 3.3, we have $w^+_{v_1v_7}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_7}(2)$, thus $(v_5, v_1) \in E(G_3^\sigma)$. Similarly $w^+_{v_1v_9}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_9}(2)$, thus $(v_6, v_1) \in E(G_3^\sigma)$. Now we find the four paths $v_1v_3v_2$, $v_1v_4v_2$,$v_1v_5v_2$, $v_1v_6v_2$ of length 2 between v_1 and v_2. Since $w^+_{v_1v_2}(2) = 3$, $w^+_{v_1v_2}(2) \neq w^-_{v_1v_2}(2)$. Thus this contradicts to Lemma 3.3.

From Cases 1 and 2, we know whether $(v_1, v_4) \in E(G_3^\sigma)$ or not, there is a contradiction to Lemma 3.3. Thus the graphs (I) and (II) have no optimum orientations.

The graphs of class (XI) have no optimum orientations.

For G_{27}, we will prove that there is not an optimum orientation by contradiction.

Otherwise let $\{(v_4, v_1), (v_4, v_8), (v_4, v_{11}), (v_4, v_{14}), (v_4, v_{15})\} \subset E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ (we give a reversal of G_{27}^σ at $v_1, v_8, v_{11}, v_{14}, v_{15}$ if necessary).

Let $(v_8, v_{16}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ (we give a reversal of G_{27}^σ at v_{16} if necessary). By applying Lemma 3.3, $w^+_{v_4v_{16}}(2) = w^-_{v_4v_{16}}(2)$, thus $(v_{16}, v_{11}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$. Let $(v_{16}, v_7) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$, $(v_{11}, v_3) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ (we give a reversal of G_{27}^σ at v_7 or v_3 if necessary). By applying Lemma 3.3, $w^+_{v_7v_{11}}(2) = w^-_{v_7v_{11}}(2)$, thus $(v_3, v_7) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$, $w^+_{v_1v_{11}}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_{11}}(2)$, thus $(v_3, v_1) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$.

Let $(v_7, v_2) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ (we give a reversal of G_{27}^σ at v_2 if necessary). By applying Lemma 3.3, $w^+_{v_1v_7}(2) = w^-_{v_1v_7}(2)$, thus $(v_2, v_1) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$, $w^+_{v_7v_8}(2) = w^-_{v_7v_8}(2)$, thus $(v_8, v_2) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$.

Let $(v_1, v_6) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$, $(v_2, v_{10}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ (we give a reversal of G_{27}^σ at v_6 or v_{10} if necessary). By applying Lemma 3.3, $w^+_{v_2,v_6}(2) = w^-_{v_2,v_6}(2)$, thus $(v_6, v_{10}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$, $w^+_{v_4,v_6}(2) = w^-_{v_4,v_6}(2)$, thus $(v_6, v_{15}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$,

Let $(v_6, v_{13}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ (we give a reversal of G_{27}^σ at v_{13} if necessary). By applying Lemma 3.3, $w^+_{v_3,v_6}(2) = w^-_{v_3,v_6}(2)$, thus $(v_3, v_{13}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$.

Let $(v_8, v_{12}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ (we give a reversal of G_{27}^σ at v_{12} if necessary). By applying lemma 3.3, $w^+_{v_4,v_{12}}(2) = w^-_{v_4,v_{12}}(2)$, thus $(v_{12}, v_{15}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$, $w^+_{v_6,v_{12}}(2) = w^-_{v_6,v_{12}}(2)$, thus $(v_{10}, v_{12}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$.

Let $(v_{15}, v_9) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ (we give a reversal of G_{27}^σ at v_9 if necessary). By applying Lemma 3.3, $w^+_{v_6,v_9}(2) = w^-_{v_6,v_9}(2)$, thus $(v_9, v_{13}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$. $w^+_{v_4,v_9}(2) = w^-_{v_4,v_9}(2)$, thus $(v_9, v_{11}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$.

Let $(v_5, v_{14}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ (we give a reversal of G_{27}^σ at v_5 if necessary). By applying Lemma 3.3, $w^+_{v_1,v_{14}}(2) = w^-_{v_1,v_{14}}(2)$, thus $(v_1, v_5) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$.

Now if $(v_{13}, v_{14}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$, Applying Lemma 3.3 to $w^+_{v_9,v_{14}}(2) = w^-_{v_9,v_{14}}(2)$, we have $(v_5, v_9) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$. Similarly $w^+_{v_5,v_{15}}(2) = w^-_{v_5,v_{15}}(2)$ implies $(v_5, v_{12}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$, $(v_{14}, v_7) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ ($w^+_{v_3,v_{14}}(2) = w^-_{v_3,v_{14}}(2)$). $(v_{16}, v_5) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ ($w^+_{v_5,v_{11}}(2) = w^-_{v_5,v_{11}}(2)$). $(v_2, v_9) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ ($w^+_{v_1,v_9}(2) = w^-_{v_1,v_9}(2)$). $(v_{15}, v_7) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ ($w^+_{v_7,v_9}(2) = w^-_{v_7,v_9}(2)$). $(v_3, v_{12}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ ($w^+_{v_3,v_{15}}(2) = w^-_{v_3,v_{15}}(2)$). $(v_8, v_{13}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ ($w^+_{v_4,v_{13}}(2) = w^-_{v_4,v_{13}}(2)$). $(v_{16}, v_6) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ ($w^+_{v_6,v_8}(2) = w^-_{v_6,v_8}(2)$). $(v_{10}, v_{11}) \in E(G_{27}^\sigma)$ ($w^+_{v_6,v_{11}}(2) = w^-_{v_6,v_{11}}(2)$).

Now we obtain the oriented graph, while $v_9v_{11}v_{10}$, $v_9v_2v_{10}$ are the two negative paths adjoining v_9 and v_{10} and $|N(v_9) \cap N(v_{10})| = 2$, this contradicts to Lemma 3.3.

If $(v_{14}, v_{13}) \in E(G_{27}^{\sigma})$, By applying Lemma 3.3, $(v_9, v_5) \in E(G_{27}^{\sigma})$ $(w^{+}_{v_9,v_{14}}(2) = w^{-}_{v_9,v_{14}}(2))$, $(v_{12}, v_5) \in E(G_{27}^{\sigma})$ $(w^{+}_{v_5,v_{15}}(2) = w^{-}_{v_5,v_{15}}(2))$, $(v_7, v_{14}) \in E(G_{27}^{\sigma})$ $(w^{+}_{v_3,v_{14}}(2) = w^{-}_{v_3,v_{14}}(2))$, $(v_5, v_{16}) \in E(G_{27}^{\sigma})$ $(w^{+}_{v_5,v_{11}}(2) = w^{-}_{v_5,v_{11}}(2))$, $(v_9, v_2) \in E(G_{27}^{\sigma})$ $(w^{+}_{v_1,v_9}(2) = w^{-}_{v_1,v_9}(2))$. $(v_7, v_{15}) \in E(G_{27}^{\sigma})$ $(w^{+}_{v_7,v_9}(2) = w^{-}_{v_7,v_9}(2))$. $(v_{12}, v_3) \in E(G_{27}^{\sigma})$ $(w^{+}_{v_3,v_{15}}(2) = w^{-}_{v_3,v_{15}}(2))$. $(v_{13}, v_8) \in E(G_{27}^{\sigma})$ $(w^{+}_{v_4,v_{13}}(2) = w^{-}_{v_4,v_{13}}(2))$. $(v_6, v_{16}) \in E(G_{27}^{\sigma})$ $(w^{+}_{v_6,v_8}(2) = w^{-}_{v_6,v_8}(2))$. $(v_{11}, v_{10}) \in E(G_{27}^{\sigma})$ $(w^{+}_{v_6,v_{11}}(2) = w^{-}_{v_6,v_{11}}(2))$.

Now we obtain the oriented graph, while $v_9v_{11}v_{10}$, $v_9v_2v_{10}$ are the two positive paths adjoining v_9 and v_{10} and $|N(v_9) \cap N(v_{10})| = 2$, this contradicts to Lemma 3.3.

Hence the graph G_{27} has no optimum orientations. □

References

[1] C. Adiga, R. Balakrishnan, W. So, The skew energy of a digraph, Linear Algebra Appl. 432 (2010) 1825–1835.

[2] A. Anuradha, R. Balakrishnan, X.L. Chen, X.L. Li, H.S. Lian, W. So, Skew spectra of oriented bipartite graphs, Electron. J. Combin. 20(4) (2013) #P18.

[3] X.L. Chen, X.L. Li, H.S. Lian, 4-Regular oriented graphs with optimum skew energy, Linear Algebra Appl. 439 (2013) 2948–2960.

[4] R. Craigen, Weighing matrices and conference matrices, C.J. Colbourn, J.H. Dinitz (Eds.), The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs, CRC Press, Boca Raton, Fla (1996) 496–504.

[5] D.L. Cui, Y.P. Hou, On the skew spectra of Cartesian products of graphs, Electron. J. Combin. 20(2) (2013)#P19.

[6] S.C. Gong, G.H. Xu, 3-regular oriented graphs with optimum skew energy, Linear Algebra Appl. 436 (2012) 465–471.

[7] S.C. Gong, G.H. Xu, W.B. Zhong, 4-regular oriented graphs with optimum skew energies, European. J. Combin. 36(2014)77–85.

[8] I. Gutman, The energy of a graph, Ber. Math. Statist. Sekt. Forschungsz. Graz. 103 (1978), 1–22.

[9] I. Gutman, X.L. Li, J.B. Zhang, Graph Energy, in: M. Dehmer, F. Emmert-Streib (Eds.), Analysis of Complex Network: From Biology to Linguistics, Wiley-VCH Verlag, Weinheim (2009) 145–174.

[10] X.L. Li, H.S. Lian, A survey on the skew energy of oriented graphs, preprint arXiv:1304.5707v6[math,CO], 2015.

[11] X.L. Li, Y.T. Shi, I. Gutman, Graph Energy, Springer, New York, 2012.

[12] G.X. Tian, On the skew energy of orientations of hypercubes, Linear Algebra Appl. 435 (2011) 2140–2149.